VZCZCXRO8304

PP RUEHAG RUEHDA RUEHDF RUEHFL RUEHIK RUEHKW RUEHLA RUEHLN RUEHLZ RUEHROV RUEHSR RUEHVK RUEHYG

DE RUEHDL #0270 0731526

ZNR UUUUU ZZH
P 141526Z MAR 06

FM AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6631

INFO RUEHZL/EUROPEAN POLITICAL COLLECTIVE PRIORITY RUEHZG/NATO EU COLLECTIVE PRIORITY RUEHBL/AMCONSUL BELFAST PRIORITY 0321

RUEKJCS/JOINT STAFF WASHDC PRIORITY RUEHBS/JOINT STAFF WASHDC PRIORITY RUEHBS/USEU BRUSSELS PRIORITY RUEHBS/USEU BRUSSELS PRIORITY RUEANHA/FAA NATIONAL HQ WASHINGTON DC PRIORITY

UNCLAS DUBLIN 000270

SIPDIS

SIPDIS

E.O. 12958: N/A

TAGS: EAIR MARR MOPS PREL NATO EUN

SUBJECT: IRELAND: EU COMMON CHARGING SCHEME FOR ANS SHOULD

MAINTAIN STATUS QUO

REF: A. STATE 24725

1B. YOUNG-HAAS PHONE CALL OF MARCH 10

- 11. The EUROCONTROL draft regulation on the Common Charging Scheme for Air Navigation Services (ANS) is evolving toward a version that would protect exemptions of state aircraft from ANS fees, according to Fintan Towey, Department of Transport Principal Officer for Aviation Security, to whom emboff delivered reftel demarche on March 9. Towey noted that the GOI favored maintenance of the status quo for state aircraft exemptions and that USG interventions with other Member States had prompted revisions of the draft regulation in that direction. He predicted that the revision process would delay adoption of the regulation for weeks, if not months. (Notwithstanding Towey's comments, Post understands that provisions subjecting state aircraft to ANS fees still appear in the latest version of the draft regulation, per ref B.)
- Towey pointed out that the GOI, unlike the USG, believed that state aircraft should be subject to fees associated with landing and parking services. He stressed, however, that the GOI saw this difference as a matter of varying interpretations of relevant ICAO provisions; the issue did not fall within the purview of the Common Charging Scheme regulation. When emboff asked whether the GOI intended to pursue payment for such services, Towey replied that government discussion had not proceeded to the point of a decision on the question. (Comment: The Department of Transport raised this difference of opinion with the Embassy roughly a year ago in informal communication, but did not demand payment. Transport officials cited reciprocity issues, insofar as U.S. military transits at Shannon and Dublin far outstripped Irish state flights to/through the United States, with the result that the Irish side was providing a greater level of landing and parking services to the U.S. side.) (Further Comment: We do not believe that this analysis is necessarily true.) BENTON